



wblchampion



Quality Assurance Toolkit 2026

WP3: QA Toolkit, CPD and Online Platform

Good Practices and Guidelines for WBL programmes



**Co-funded by
the European Union**

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the Erasmus+ National Agency -INDIRE. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them. Project No: 2024-1-IT02-KA220-HED-000245540

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Importance of Quality Assurance in WBL	4
2.1 Conceptual Foundations and Broader Context of Quality Assurance in Higher Education	4
2.2 Ensuring Relevance to Labour Market Needs	4
2.3 Promoting Consistency and Standards Across Partners	5
2.4 Enhancing Accountability and Trust Among Stakeholders	5
2.5 Supporting Continuous Improvement and Innovation.....	5
2.6 International and Policy Dimensions	6
2.7 Key Components of Quality Assurance in WBL.....	6
3. Expectations and Roles: Academia side.....	7
4. Expectations and Roles: Industry side.....	10
5. Quality criteria for WBL in HE	13
5.1 Guiding Principles for High - Performance Apprenticeships & WBL.....	13
5.2 Adaptation of EQAVET principles	15
6. Assessment Framework to evaluate quality and alignment of WBL programmes with standards.....	18
6.1 Defining effective assessment frameworks.....	18
6.2 Methodologies to use for continuous improvement	21
7. Monitoring and Evaluation mechanisms for WBL programmes	24
7.1 Draft for Memorandum of Understanding and Memorandum of Agreement	24
7.2 Self-Assessment tools for Academia and Industry.....	32
7.3 Student Feedback Questionnaires	37
8. Good Practices and Guidelines for WBL programmes	42
8.1 Example of a timeline for a 1-Year Work-Based Learning Practice	44
9. Conclusion	47

1. Introduction

The Work-Based Learning Champion (WBL_Champion) project seeks to elevate Work-Based Learning (WBL) as a leading methodology within Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) across the European Union. By developing a structured framework for the effective implementation of WBL, the project aims to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and real-world industry needs, ensuring that students acquire job-relevant skills and are better prepared for the workforce. The integration of real-world experiences into educational curricula is essential for enhancing students' employability and job readiness, and WBL plays a pivotal role in this process. While WBL policies exist within the EU's educational frameworks, inconsistencies in quality and implementation across different countries hinder its widespread adoption in HEIs.

The WBL_Champion project outlines 3 key objectives:

Objective 1: Enhance the digital competencies of HEI professionals, as a way to integrate digital tools into WBL practices, which will, in turn, support the digital transformation in Education. This will empower educators to effectively incorporate technology within WBL programmes, aligning with EU priorities for digital readiness.

Objective 2: Provide accessible, innovative tools for HEI staff, students, companies and industry mentors. By developing user-friendly resources, this project will ensure that all participants in WBL programmes can confidently engage with digital tools, fostering a streamlined approach to WBL across institutions.

Objective 3: Redesign six existing HEI courses to incorporate WBL within curricula, directly addressing the labour market's demand for graduates with practical experience. By embedding WBL in partner HEIs, this project will facilitate transitions for students into the workforce and strengthen the alignment between HE and VET programmes.

By focusing on these objectives, the WBL_Champion project will contribute to the creation of a unified framework that supports both HEIs and industry partners in enhancing the quality and impact of WBL in higher education.

To address this challenge, the WBL_Champion project will conduct a regulatory analysis of existing WBL policies, redesign HE courses that currently lack WBL practices and develop a comprehensive **Quality Assurance (QA) Toolkit** to support the implementation and continuous improvement of WBL. The toolkit is designed to ensure that WBL practices are effective, equitable and aligned with both academic and industry standards, enabling HEIs and industry mentors to offer high-quality learning experiences that meet the needs of all stakeholders.

This Quality Assurance Toolkit is a critical resource that will guide the development, implementation and continuous improvement of WBL practices, ensuring that they meet the evolving needs of the labour market while maintaining high educational standards.

Through this project, the WBL_Champion initiative aims to establish a robust foundation for the future of work-based learning in higher education, fostering deeper collaboration between academia and industry and providing students with the skills they need to succeed in their careers.

2. Importance of Quality Assurance in WBL

As stated previously, WBL has become an essential pedagogical approach within HEIs, recognised for its ability to bridge academic knowledge with real-world professional practice. By engaging learners in authentic workplace settings through internships, placements, apprenticeships, and other experiential modalities, WBL contributes significantly to employability, practical competence, and lifelong learning. However, the effectiveness and equity of WBL experiences depend critically on the presence of robust QA systems that guide design, implementation, assessment and continuous improvement of these programmes.

2.1 Conceptual Foundations and Broader Context of Quality Assurance in Higher Education

Quality assurance in education refers to systematic, structured and continuous attention to maintaining and improving quality in all aspects of teaching and learning delivery. It encompasses internal processes (self-evaluation, curriculum review, stakeholder feedback) and external mechanisms (accreditation, benchmarking, external review) that together ensure educational programmes meet defined standards and stakeholder expectations. QA's objectives within the scope of HE include accountability, enhancement of learning outcomes, alignment with institutional mission and responsiveness to societal and labour market demands.¹

In higher education, quality assurance has evolved into a professionalised and globally coordinated activity, increasingly viewed as indispensable for institutional legitimacy and continuous enhancement. It is closely linked with broader quality cultures where all stakeholders share responsibility for maintaining and improving educational quality.²

2.2 Ensuring Relevance to Labour Market Needs

WBL's primary value is its capacity to connect academic learning with workplace demands, helping students develop job-relevant skills and competencies. However, without systematic QA, the relevance and consistency of workplace learning experiences can vary widely across sectors, host organisations and student cohorts. QA mechanisms help ensure that:

- WBL placements are structured around agreed learning outcomes that align with both academic goals and employer expectations.
- Curricula remain responsive to evolving labour market trends and skill needs.

¹ Kayyali, M. (2023). An overview of quality assurance in higher education: Concepts and frameworks. *International Journal of Management, Sciences, Innovation, and Technology (IJMSIT)*, 4(2), 1–4

² Williams, J., & Harvey, L. (2015). Quality Assurance in Higher Education. *The Palgrave International Handbook of Higher Education Policy and Governance*, 506–525. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-45617-5_27

- Students engage in authentic, meaningful work activities that support their professional growth.
- By establishing clear standards and continuous evaluation, QA enhances the credibility of WBL programmes and supports positive labour market outcomes for graduates.

2.3 Promoting Consistency and Standards Across Partners

Unlike traditional classroom learning, WBL involves multiple stakeholders—including HEIs, host organisations, mentors and students—each with different priorities and practices. QA frameworks ensure that all parties operate with shared understanding of roles, expectations, and quality benchmarks. Effective QA:

- Provides transparent criteria for programme design, supervision and assessment.
- Ensures that students, regardless of placement location or discipline, receive equitable and high-quality experiences.
- Supports HEIs in maintaining academic standards while integrating workplace supervision and assessment into curricular frameworks.

Without such frameworks, inconsistencies in supervision quality, assessment practices, and learning outcomes can undermine both student experience and programme credibility.³

2.4 Enhancing Accountability and Trust Among Stakeholders

In WBL, accountability extends beyond the institution to include employers and mentors. QA fosters trust by:

- Clarifying responsibilities of all partners through formal agreements.
- Using data and feedback to monitor the quality of learning experiences.
- Demonstrating that WBL outcomes meet agreed academic and professional criteria.

Quality assurance also protects learners by ensuring fair, transparent assessment and support systems throughout their placements.

2.5 Supporting Continuous Improvement and Innovation

Quality assurance is not a one-off activity; it is inherently linked to ongoing improvement. Through structured feedback loops, reflective evaluation and systematic monitoring, QA enables HEIs and industry partners to:

- Identify strengths and areas for enhancement in programme design and delivery.

³ Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education. (2018, November). *UK Quality Code for Higher Education: Advice and guidance—Work-based learning*. <https://www.qaa.ac.uk/docs/qaa/quality-code/advice-and-guidance-work-based-learning.pdf>

- Adjust learning outcomes, supervision strategies and assessment methods in response to stakeholder feedback.
- Embed innovation in WBL practices by integrating new pedagogical tools, digital technologies and industry insights.

Continuous QA supports institutional agility and ensures that WBL remains relevant amid changing workforce needs.

2.6 International and Policy Dimensions

The importance of QA in WBL is recognised not only in academic literature but also in European and global policy frameworks. For example, European quality assurance bodies are actively exploring models to integrate WBL quality within broader higher education QA systems. However, comprehensive and systematic QA models for WBL are still emerging, and many initiatives are in early stages of development.⁴

Professional networks such as the [International Network for Quality Assurance Agencies in Higher Education \(INQAAHE\)](#) work globally to strengthen QA policies and practices, further highlighting the international relevance of quality assurance in education at large.

2.7 Key Components of Quality Assurance in WBL

An effective QA system for WBL typically includes:

1. Clear standards and benchmarks: Defined learning outcomes, performance criteria, and assessment rubrics that guide both academic and workplace evaluation.
2. Formalised partnerships: Agreements that articulate the shared roles of HEIs, employers, and mentors.
3. Monitoring and feedback mechanisms: Systematic data collection and review processes that drive improvement.
4. Stakeholder engagement: Active involvement of learners, faculty, employers, and professional bodies in quality processes.

These QA components help ensure that WBL is not only consistent and equitable but also capable of generating robust evidence of learning and impact.

⁴ Gourdin, A., Homan, M., & Wagenaar, R. (2020). *Filling the gap: Defining a robust quality assurance model for work-based learning in higher education* (Prepared by the ENQA and Groningen WEXHE teams). European Association for Quality Assurance in Higher Education (ENQA) & University of Groningen.

3. Expectations and Roles: Academia side

The effectiveness and credibility of WBL depend fundamentally on the active and structured engagement of HEIs. Academia is not only responsible for placing students in companies, but also for ensuring academic standards, learning integrity, and fair educational outcomes.

Within a quality-assured WBL ecosystem, HEIs are expected to assume clearly defined roles that ensure alignment between academic frameworks and workplace learning realities. These roles extend across curriculum design, partnership governance, assessment, supervision, monitoring and continuous improvement. International guidance, including the UK Quality Code for Higher Education and European-level reflections on WBL quality assurance, underscores the central responsibility of HEIs in safeguarding academic standards and enhancing student learning in work-based contexts.

One of the core expectations placed on academia in WBL is the responsibility to design programmes where work-based components are intentionally embedded within the curriculum, rather than appended as optional or loosely connected experiences.

HEIs must ensure that:

- WBL activities are aligned with programme-level and module-level learning outcomes.
- Learning outcomes reflect both disciplinary knowledge and higher-order competencies (e.g., critical thinking, problem-solving, ethical reasoning, professional communication).
- Work-based tasks are academically coherent and contribute meaningfully to credit-bearing requirements.

According to the UK Quality Code for Higher Education: Advice and guidance—Work-based learning (2018), institutions remain ultimately responsible for the academic standards of awards, even when learning occurs in external settings. This means that HEIs must clearly articulate how workplace learning contributes to the achievement of academic qualifications and how equivalence of standards is maintained between campus-based and work-based components.⁵

In quality-assured systems, learning outcomes serve as the common reference point between academia and industry. HEIs are expected to lead the formulation of these outcomes, ensuring that they are:

- Transparent and measurable,
- Communicated to all stakeholders,
- Consistent with national qualifications frameworks and European standards.

⁵ Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education. (2018). *UK Quality Code for Higher Education: Advice and guidance—Work-based learning*. <https://www.qaa.ac.uk/docs/qaa/quality-code/advice-and-guidance-work-based-learning.pdf>

WBL inherently involves shared delivery between HEIs and external partners. However, shared delivery does not imply diluted accountability. Academia must establish robust governance structures that clarify expectations and protect academic integrity.

Key academic responsibilities include:

- Developing formal Memoranda of Understanding (MoUs) or Memoranda of Agreement (MoAs) with host organisations.
- Defining roles and responsibilities of academic supervisors and in-company mentors.
- Establishing procedures for dispute resolution, appeals, and ethical concerns.
- Ensuring compliance with legal, regulatory, and safeguarding requirements.

Gourdin *et al.* (2020) emphasise that effective QA models for WBL in HE require clearly structured institutional frameworks rather than informal arrangements. HEIs must ensure that partnerships are built on mutual trust but governed by explicit quality criteria. Regular review of partner performance, site visits, and joint evaluation mechanisms are essential to maintain quality consistency.⁶

A critical expectation of academia in WBL is the provision of structured academic supervision. Even when day-to-day activities are managed by industry mentors, the HEI retains responsibility for:

- Assigning qualified academic supervisors
- Ensuring alignment between workplace activities and academic expectations.
- Supporting reflective learning and critical integration of theory and practice.
- Monitoring student well-being and progression.

Academic supervisors play a dual role, acting as both quality guardians and as pedagogical facilitators. Their role is not merely administrative but developmental through guiding students in transforming workplace experiences into useful academic insight.

Furthermore, HEIs must ensure inclusivity and equity in WBL opportunities. This includes:

- Adapting placements to accommodate students with disabilities or special needs.
- Providing preparatory training for students before placement.
- Ensuring that assessment practices are fair and unbiased.

HEIs must ensure that assessment of work-based components is:

- Based on clearly defined learning outcomes.
- Transparent and consistently applied.
- Valid, reliable, and authentic.
- Equivalent in academic rigor to campus-based assessments.

⁶ Gourdin, A., Homan, M., & Wagenaar, R. (2020). *Filling the gap: Defining a robust quality assurance model for work-based learning in higher education*. European Association for Quality Assurance in Higher Education (ENQA) & University of Groningen. <https://wexhe.eu>

While industry input is valuable—particularly in evaluating professional competence—academic staff must retain oversight and final authority over grading decisions.

High-quality WBL assessment typically integrates reflective portfolios, workplace-based projects, performance evaluations and joint assessment panels involving academic and industry representatives. This ensures that academic integrity is preserved while valuing professional authenticity.

Quality assurance in WBL is not static, requiring ongoing monitoring and systematic evaluation. Academia is expected to implement:

- Student feedback mechanisms specific to WBL experiences.
- Employer and mentor feedback systems.
- Completion and progression data analysis.
- Regular curriculum review cycles.

Continuous improvement mechanisms allow HEIs to identify disparities between placements, detect emerging skill needs, and refine partnership models. Sustainable QA frameworks depend on systematic evidence collection and institutional learning.

Given this context, it is also important to highlight that Academia also has a strategic role in:

- Benchmarking WBL practices against peer institutions.
- Aligning programmes with European and national quality standards.
- Integrating digital tools to support monitoring and evaluation processes.

In contemporary higher education, WBL is increasingly mediated by digital platforms. HEIs are expected to lead the integration of digital tools that:

- Facilitate communication between students, mentors, and supervisors.
- Support e-portfolios and digital assessment.
- Enable remote supervision and feedback.
- Ensure secure data management and transparency.

This leadership role aligns with broader European priorities related to digital transformation in education and strengthens the scalability and transparency of WBL quality assurance systems.

Ultimately, the most significant expectation placed on academia is the cultivation of a quality culture around WBL. This involves embedding shared values of excellence, accountability, collaboration, and continuous enhancement.

A mature WBL quality culture within HEIs is characterised by:

1. Institutional commitment at leadership level.
2. Faculty development and training in WBL pedagogy.
3. Structured engagement with industry stakeholders.
4. Systematic reflection on outcomes and impact.

4. Expectations and Roles: Industry side

The role of industry partners in the success of WBL is just as important as Academia's role. Industry involvement includes active participation in curriculum design, mentorship, assessment and quality assurance to ensure that the learning outcomes align with labour market needs. This chapter discusses the expectations and roles of industry in quality-assured WBL, emphasizing the need for strong collaboration between academia and the workforce to enhance students' employability and the relevance of educational programmes.

Industry partners play a fundamental role in the development and ongoing adaptation of WBL curricula. Their knowledge of current skills gaps and evolving industry needs makes them key collaborators in designing educational programmes that reflect real-world demands.

Industry partners are expected to:

- Provide input, as requested, into curriculum design, ensuring that learning outcomes match the skills required by the labour market.
- Contribute to the identification of competencies that students need to acquire.
- Assist in the continuous update of curricula, integrating current technologies and practices into the curricula to ensure students are prepared for future challenges.

Active involvement of industry in curriculum development ensures that students are equipped with the knowledge and skills necessary to meet the evolving needs of the workforce, thereby enhancing their employability prospects.

Industry's involvement in mentorship and supervision is one of the most direct ways in which it contributes to students' development. While academia remains responsible for ensuring that students meet academic standards, industry mentors guide students through real-world applications of the knowledge gained in the classroom.

Regarding the identification and assignment of mentors, industry partners are expected to:

- Appoint experienced mentors who are qualified not only in their technical field but also in facilitating student development.
- Support students' professional growth by providing feedback on their performance and helping them integrate theoretical knowledge with practical skills.
- Ensure that students' work-based learning experiences align with academic objectives by providing structured learning tasks that contribute to academic and professional development.

Research by Irby *et al.*, 2017 highlights the value of mentorship in WBL, noting that effective mentors provide guidance on both technical skills and soft skills, such as communication and teamwork, which are vital in professional environments.⁷

In collaboration with HEIs, industry partners contribute to the assessment and evaluation of students' performance in the workplace. Industry feedback helps ensure that assessments are not only academically rigorous but also relevant to industry standards.

Industry's role in assessment includes

- Providing feedback on student performance, using industry-relevant criteria and metrics.
- Supporting authentic assessments, such as project-based evaluations, that reflect real-world tasks and challenges.
- Collaborating with academia to ensure that assessment procedures are aligned with both academic and professional competencies and expectations.

As noted by Billett (2025), authentic assessments in WBL, informed by industry standards, ensure that students' capabilities are evaluated in real-world contexts, thus validating their professional competence.⁸

Industry partners are integral to QA processes within WBL programmes. By participating in QA, industry ensures that the WBL experiences provided are consistent, fair, and aligned with both academic and professional standards. Their involvement in QA includes participating in programme reviews to assess the effectiveness of WBL components in achieving learning outcomes, providing ongoing feedback on the relevance and quality of WBL opportunities, identifying areas for improvement in the learning experience, as well as contributing to the development of QA frameworks that align industry standards with academic expectations and provide guidance on best practices.

The importance of industry involvement in QA is consistently emphasised by the European Commission, particularly through its ongoing commitment to integrating QA into educational initiatives, as reflected in the evolving Erasmus+ project calls over the years. This continued focus underscores the critical role that regular industry reviews and feedback play in maintaining the quality, relevance, and alignment of educational programmes with the needs of the labour market. By prioritizing industry engagement, the European Commission ensures that educational offerings remain responsive to changing industry demands, thereby enhancing the employability of graduates and strengthening the connection between education and the workforce.

Another aspect that should not be forgotten is Industry's role in establishing and maintaining collaborative partnerships with HEIs, as these are critical for the long-term success of WBL programmes. These partnerships

⁷ Irby, B. J., Lynch, J., Boswell, J., & Hewitt, K. K. (2017). Mentoring as professional development. *Mentoring & Tutoring: Partnership in Learning*, 25(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13611267.2017.1312895>

⁸ Billett, S. (2025). *Learning Through Work*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003519416>

help ensure that both parties share common goals and expectations, contributing to the development of a sustainable and mutually beneficial learning environment.

Active participation in governance structures, such as advisory boards or steering committees and promoting knowledge exchange between academia and industry through joint research projects, guest lectures or workshops are essential practices that help ensure WBL programmes remain current and aligned with the latest industry trends. These collaborative efforts foster continuous dialogue between educational institutions and industry partners, enabling the integration of cutting-edge developments, emerging technologies, and evolving sectoral needs into WBL curricula and practices.

A well-structured, industry-driven WBL programme not only enhances students' employability but also ensures that higher education remains responsive to the needs of the labour market.

5. Quality criteria for WBL in HE

This chapter presents a set of quality criteria for Work Based Learning in Higher Education, derived from two key frameworks: the EQAVET (European Quality Assurance in Vocational Education and Training)⁹ principles and the High-Performance Apprenticeships & Work-Based Learning: 20 Guiding Principles outlined by the European Commission¹⁰. These principles provide a structured and comprehensive framework for ensuring that WBL experiences within HEIs meet high standards of quality, are responsive to labour market needs and foster meaningful collaboration between academia and industry.

The criteria discussed in this chapter focus on several critical areas of quality assurance, including the development of clear QA frameworks, responsiveness of curricula to changing skill needs, fostering mutual trust and cooperation between educational institutions and industry, ensuring fair and authentic assessment practices, and supporting the professional development of in-company trainers. By adapting these principles to the context of higher education, the chapter highlights the importance of systematic feedback mechanisms, equity, and inclusiveness in the WBL process, ultimately aiming to enhance the employability and professional competence of graduates.

These guiding principles serve as a foundation for institutions to build structured, high-quality WBL programmes that not only benefit students but also align with the evolving demands of the labour market. Through their integration into HE, these principles help ensure that WBL becomes a central, valued component of higher education, bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical, industry-relevant skills.

5.1 Guiding Principles for High - Performance Apprenticeships & WBL

Work-Based Learning in Higher Education is vital for bridging the gap between academic knowledge and practical experience. The **High-Performance Apprenticeships & Work-Based Learning principles**, originally designed for Vocational Education and Training (VET), are structured around key policy challenges, making it easier to navigate and apply to HE settings.

The following principles, derived from the fourth policy challenge of the sources, "**Quality Assurance in Work-Based Learning**," provide a comprehensive framework for ensuring high-quality training. While the sources focus on VET, they explicitly acknowledge that WBL is increasingly integrated into HE at tertiary levels.

Here is a description of each principle and how they support Quality Assurance within Higher Education Institutions:

- **Providing a Clear Framework for Quality Assurance**

This principle requires a clear, agreed-upon framework for QA at the system, provider and company levels. It emphasises **systematic feedback mechanisms** between the educational institution, the learner and the in-

⁹ [EQAVET framework - Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion](#)

¹⁰ [High-performance apprenticeships & work-based learning - Publications Office of the EU](#)

company trainer to ensure continuous improvement. The QA framework must be sensitive to **equity and inclusiveness**, ensuring that assessments are adapted for students with special needs, include all groups, the needs of minorities are reflected in the feedback systems and that formal procedures exist to appeal decisions.

For HEIs, this principle supports QA by moving beyond informal internships toward structured partnerships. It provides a formal structure where academic departments and industry partners can align their expectations, using systematic data (like satisfaction rates or completion rates) to refine the learning experience.

- **Ensuring Content is Responsive to Changing Skill Needs**

This principle focuses on the "responsiveness" of the curriculum to the labour market. It advocates for an **evidence-based policy approach**, utilizing regular skill forecasts, research, and evaluations to update training content.

HEIs are uniquely positioned to lead this through their research capabilities and also by involving industry partners in validating the content. This principle supports QA by ensuring that high-level academic curricula remain relevant to rapid technological or societal changes, preventing the "time lag" between academic study and labour market entry.

- **Fostering Mutual Trust and Respect Through Cooperation**

High-quality WBL depends on trust developed through regular, active cooperation between partners. This involves joint efforts such as site visits, peer reviews, and collaborative examination boards.

In an HEI context, this principle bridges the gap between theory and practice. By involving industry professionals in "peer reviews" or site visits, HEIs ensure that the work-based component is not just an "extra" but a core, high-performance part of the degree that meets both academic and professional standards.

- **Ensuring Fair, Valid and Authentic Assessment**

Assessment must be based on clear **learning outcomes** as a common reference point. Crucially, it must be **authentic**, meaning it should take place in real or simulated business environments to accurately measure a learner's professional competence.

This supports QA by validating that the skills acquired in the field are equivalent in rigor to those acquired on campus. By using "performance tasks" or "project developments" in a business context, HEIs can certify that their graduates possess both theoretical knowledge and the ability to apply it in complex, real-world scenarios.

- **Supporting the Development of In-Company Trainers**

The quality of training relies on the competence of the people supervising the learner. This principle calls for the **professional development** of in-company mentors, national recognition of their qualifications and improved working conditions for them.

HEI students often work on high-level, specialised projects. This principle ensures that the professionals mentoring these students are not just experts in their field but are also equipped with the **pedagogical skills** to facilitate learning. This safeguards the institution's reputation for producing highly skilled graduates.

5.2 Adaptation of EQAVET principles

The EQAVET (European Quality Assurance in Vocational Education and Training) framework was introduced in 2009 by the European Union with the aim of improving the quality and consistency of vocational education and training (VET) programmes across Europe. The framework was designed to provide a structured approach to ensuring the quality of VET systems, including apprenticeships, on-the-job training and work-based placements. Although these guidelines have been established for over a decade within the VET sector, and while higher education institutions have progressively integrated WBL into their curricula, the framework has not yet been fully implemented across all areas of higher education.

Despite its primary focus on VET, the EQAVET framework offers a comprehensive set of quality assurance principles that are highly relevant for higher education institutions looking to implement or improve WBL programmes. These principles emphasise continuous improvement, systematic monitoring and alignment with both academic and industry standards—key aspects that are critical to ensuring that WBL experiences in HE remain relevant, high-quality and responsive to the evolving needs of the labour market. By adapting the EQAVET framework, HE institutions can enhance the quality of their WBL programmes and ensure they provide meaningful, industry-relevant experiences for students.

The EQAVET framework is structured around six key building blocks: **Design, Improve, Respond, Communicate, Train** and **Assess**. These building blocks serve as a roadmap for developing, implementing and evaluating work-based learning opportunities. Each block provides a critical lens through which HEIs can evaluate and improve the quality of their WBL offerings.

- The **Design** block focuses on the creation of high-quality training programmes that are built on collaborative partnerships between academia and industry. This block emphasises the importance of aligning the design of WBL with both academic objectives and industry needs. For HEIs, this means that curricula should not only reflect academic rigor but also be responsive to the specific skills and competencies required by employers. Industry partners play a pivotal role in this process, as their input ensures that the learning opportunities provided to students are practical, relevant and perfectly aligned with current standards.
At this point, HEIs must prioritise creating formal partnerships with employers, ensuring that work-based placements are structured and clearly defined. This involves the development of joint agreements that outline the roles, responsibilities and expectations of both the academic institution and the employer. By carefully planning the training and ensuring the alignment of academic and industry needs, HEIs can guarantee that students receive comprehensive, well-rounded learning experiences.
- The **Improve** block emphasises the importance of ongoing evaluation and continuous improvement of work-based learning programmes. For HEIs, this means regularly assessing the quality of their WBL offerings and identifying areas for refinement. Continuous improvement can be achieved through feedback mechanisms that involve students, academic staff, and industry partners. This block encourages HEIs to actively engage in data-driven decision-making, using feedback and performance metrics to enhance the learning experience.

Regular reviews and evaluations are essential in identifying what is working well and what needs adjustment. This might involve collecting feedback from students on their placements, monitoring their progression, and adjusting the training approach to meet the evolving needs of both students and employers. Furthermore, HEIs can engage in benchmarking activities, comparing their WBL practices against those of other institutions to ensure they are delivering high-quality programmes that meet the needs of the labour market.

- The **Respond** block highlights the importance of addressing the individual needs of learners throughout their WBL experience. Each student may have different learning abilities, career goals and personal challenges, so it is critical that HEIs and industry partners work together to support the learner’s growth and development. This block encourages continuous communication between all parties involved in the WBL process—students, academic staff and mentors—ensuring that students receive the guidance and support they need to succeed.
HEIs should provide a supportive environment that enables students to voice their concerns, provide feedback and receive personalised guidance. This might include providing access to academic advisors, mentors and support staff who can help students navigate challenges during their placements. Additionally, the organization offering the placement should designate a mentor or supervisor who can actively engage with the student, offering professional guidance and feedback on their performance.
- The **Communicate** block emphasises the need for clear and consistent communication between all stakeholders—students, academic staff and industry mentors—throughout the WBL process. Effective communication ensures that all parties are aligned in their expectations and goals and it facilitates the smooth running of the placement.
For HEIs, it is essential to establish clear communication channels from the outset of the placement. This includes agreeing on how and when stakeholders will communicate, whether through formal meetings, regular emails or digital platforms. Regular communication ensures that issues can be addressed in a timely manner, and that both students and mentors have a shared understanding of the student’s progress. Frequent updates and informal check-ins also help foster a collaborative and supportive environment for all parties involved.
- The **Train** block focuses on the qualifications and training of in-company mentors and supervisors. For WBL to be effective, mentors must not only be experts in their field but also skilled in supporting and guiding students. This block emphasises the need for training programmes that equip mentors with the pedagogical skills necessary to foster student development in a workplace setting.
HEIs ought to work closely with industry partners to ensure that mentors are provided with the necessary training and resources to support students effectively. This could involve offering professional development opportunities for mentors, such as workshops or certification programmes, to enhance their ability to deliver high-quality training. In countries such as Germany and Austria, mentor training has become a standard practice, ensuring that mentors are well-equipped to guide students effectively. By adopting a European-wide standard for mentor training, we can raise the overall quality and consistency of WBL programmes across the EU, fostering better learning outcomes and enhancing the effectiveness of WBL provision in all member states.

- The **Assess** block highlights the importance of robust assessment frameworks to evaluate students' performance and the learning outcomes achieved during their work-based placements. This block encourages HEIs to ensure that assessment processes are both valid and reliable, using predefined learning outcomes, competencies and skills to assess students' progress.

Assessment should be designed to measure both theoretical knowledge and practical skills, ensuring that students demonstrate their ability to apply what they have learned in real-world contexts. HEIs should work with industry partners to ensure that assessment methods are aligned with industry standards and are relevant to the specific tasks students perform during their placements. This could involve a combination of formative and summative assessments, including performance tasks, project reports and reflective journals.

In the context of higher education, these building blocks provide a critical framework for quality assurance in WBL. By adapting these principles, HEIs can ensure that their WBL programmes are designed with a clear focus on student learning outcomes, employer needs and continuous improvement. Furthermore, integrating industry partners into the process of curriculum design, assessment and feedback ensures that WBL experiences are relevant, high-quality and aligned with labour market demands. This approach not only enhances the employability of graduates but also ensures that HE institutions remain responsive to the evolving demands of the workforce.

6. Assessment Framework to evaluate quality and alignment of WBL programmes with standards

6.1 Defining effective assessment frameworks

An effective assessment framework is critical to ensuring that WBL programmes within HE meet the necessary academic and industry standards. This section presents the key elements of an assessment framework that can be used to evaluate the quality and alignment of WBL programmes with established standards, ensuring that they deliver valuable learning experiences and foster the development of competencies relevant to the labour market.

1. Defining Clear Learning Outcomes

The foundation of any assessment framework is the establishment of clear learning outcomes. These outcomes must align both with the academic goals and with the skills required by the industry. To ensure that WBL activities are meaningful and relevant, institutions must define precise, measurable outcomes that students are expected to achieve through their work placements. This includes both technical competencies related to the field of study as well as broader skills such as critical thinking, problem-solving and communication.

In higher education, these learning outcomes should be articulated at the programme level, module level and work-based placement level, ensuring that there is coherence between classroom learning and workplace tasks. Collaborative partnerships with industry stakeholders are essential in defining these outcomes, as they provide insights into the competencies required in real-world professional settings. Moreover, the inclusion of higher-order competencies such as leadership, teamwork and ethical decision-making is crucial, as these skills significantly enhance students' employability.

2. Establishing Valid and Authentic Assessment Methods

Once learning outcomes are established, the next step is to determine valid and authentic assessment methods that accurately measure students' achievement of these outcomes in real or simulated workplace environments. This is vital to ensure that the learning experiences align with industry expectations.

These methods can include:

- Performance tasks that reflect real-life challenges faced in the industry.
- Project-based assessments where students work on tasks directly linked to the industry.

- Reflective portfolios where students document and analyse their experiences, demonstrating how their theoretical knowledge is applied in practice.
- Workplace-based projects that allow students to showcase their problem-solving and professional skills in context.
- Other methods suited for the courses and curricula of the HEI

Authentic assessment is designed to ensure that students are evaluated on the competencies they have developed in the workplace, rather than relying solely on theoretical knowledge assessed in a classroom setting. This approach enhances the credibility of WBL programmes by ensuring that the assessments reflect the actual demands of the workforce.

3. Integration of Industry Standards in the Assessment Process

It is essential that industry standards are embedded in the assessment process to ensure the relevance and rigor of the WBL programme. Industry partners play a crucial role in defining the criteria for assessment and evaluating student performance. They are able to provide feedback on the authenticity of the learning tasks and the adequacy of the student's preparation for professional roles. Industry partners can provide valuable feedback on student performance by evaluating both technical skills and soft skills. Industry partners may collaborate with academic staff to ensure the assessment criteria match industry practices and contribute to creating rubrics that reflect the specific competencies needed in the field.

Industry participation in assessment may include:

- Providing feedback on student performance through structured evaluations that assess both technical and soft skills.
- Collaborating with academic staff to ensure that assessment frameworks are consistent with industry practices and standards.
- Contributing to assessment rubrics, ensuring that industry-specific competencies are adequately captured and assessed.
- Incorporating industry feedback into the assessment process ensures that students' abilities are measured against professional benchmarks, reinforcing the practical applicability of their learning.

4. Continuous Monitoring and Feedback Mechanisms

A key aspect of maintaining quality in WBL programmes is the continuous monitoring of students' progress and the provision of ongoing feedback. This allows for timely interventions, supports students in their development and ensures that the programme remains aligned with both academic and industry goals.

Feedback mechanisms should include:

- Regular check-ins between academic supervisors, students and industry mentors. These will be used to assess progress, identify challenges and provide guidance along the process.

- Mid-intervention reviews where students can reflect on their learning and receive constructive feedback from mentors.
- Final evaluations that capture the overall performance of the student and assess whether learning outcomes have been met.

These mechanisms should be structured to allow for continuous improvement, enabling adjustments to be made throughout the placement to ensure students receive the support they need to succeed. Additionally, student self-assessment and peer assessments can be incorporated to encourage a deeper reflection and learning.

5. Standardized Evaluation Criteria

For the assessment of WBL programmes to be effective, it is essential to have standardized evaluation criteria that ensure fairness, transparency and consistency across interventions. These criteria should be agreed upon by all stakeholders, including academic staff, industry partners, and students. Standardization is particularly important when multiple partners are involved in a WBL programme, as it ensures that all students are evaluated based on the same set of expectations.

Standardized evaluation criteria should cover:

- Skills and competencies that students are expected to acquire during the intervention, through the definition of learning outcomes.
- Key employability skills, such as teamwork, communication and responsibility.
- Academic performance, ensuring that the work-based component is integrated with the academic requirements of the programme.

Consistent evaluation criteria will be a decisive factor that HEIs must use to ensure that the quality of WBL programmes is maintained, regardless of the host organization or industry sector, making them crucial for ensuring the credibility and recognition of the WBL programme.

6. Benchmarking Against Industry Standards and Peer Institutions

Benchmarking is a vital tool for ensuring that WBL programmes meet high standards and remain relevant. HEIs should engage in benchmarking activities, both internally and externally, to compare their WBL programmes with those of other institutions and with industry standards. This provides valuable insights into the program's effectiveness and identifies areas for improvement.

Benchmarking should focus on:

- Programme outcomes: Comparing learning outcomes and assessment results with those of similar institutions and industry practices.

- Curriculum content: Ensuring that the curriculum remains current and aligned with industry trends and labour market needs.
- Student performance: Evaluating how well students perform in the workplace and comparing their achievements with industry standards.
- Transferable employability skills: Assessing the development of transferable skills (such as problem-solving, communication, and adaptability) that are crucial across various industries.

Benchmarking is particularly important in a rapidly changing labour market, as it helps ensure that HEIs adapt their WBL programmes to meet emerging skills needs and new industry standards.

6.2 Methodologies to use for continuous improvement

In line with European guidelines for Quality Assurance (QA), Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) today are committed to upholding high standards of academic and work-based learning quality. While each institution may have its own established QA and Quality Enhancement (QE) procedures, it is widely recognized that methodologies such as Kaizen and the Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) Framework are commonly employed by HEIs and other organizations to foster continuous improvement. These methodologies support HEIs in refining and enhancing their processes through small, incremental changes, ensuring that their academic offerings and industry alignment remain relevant and of the highest quality.

The **Kaizen Methodology**¹¹, which focuses on continuous improvement through small, incremental changes, and the **Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) Framework**¹², which provides a structured approach to problem-solving and improvement, can be effectively integrated into QA practices within HE. Both methodologies emphasize ongoing evaluation, iterative changes and feedback loops—principles that align closely with the goals of maintaining high standards of academic and work-based learning quality.

Understanding Kaizen and PDCA in the Context of QA in Higher Education

Kaizen, which translates to "change for the better," is a philosophy of continuous improvement, particularly in processes. In the context of QA in HE, *Kaizen* encourages small, consistent improvements in educational practices, curriculum design, assessment methods and student support systems. This approach is about making incremental adjustments, rather than large-scale overhauls, to continually refine the quality of education.

PDCA Framework (Plan-Do-Check-Act) is a cyclical framework that guides organizations through the process of continuous improvement. PDCA can be applied to QA in HE to assess and improve the quality of educational programmes, including WBL components, as it encourages institutions to systematically plan, implement,

¹¹ Imai, M. (1986). *Kaizen: The key to Japan's competitive success*. McGraw-Hill Education

¹² Deming, W. E. (1986). *Out of the crisis*. MIT Center for Advanced Educational Services.

monitor and refine their processes. The PDCA cycle helps HEIs maintain a structured yet flexible approach to quality improvement, particularly in aligning academic and industry expectations.

Integrating Kaizen and PDCA into QA for WBL in Higher Education

To improve the quality of WBL programmes in HE using *Kaizen* and PDCA, HEIs can implement the following steps (for the purposes of this explanation we will use the 4 steps of the PDCA cycle):

Plan: Establish Clear Objectives and Identify Areas for Improvement

- ***Kaizen:*** Begin by identifying small areas where improvements can be made within the WBL programme. For example, academic staff, industry mentors and students can provide feedback on specific aspects such as mentoring, assessment practices or communication during placements. The focus should be on identifying manageable issues that can be addressed without major overhauls but will lead to incremental improvements over time.

Objective: Improve the clarity and alignment of learning outcomes for WBL placements with both academic and industry standards.

- ***PDCA:*** In this phase, HEIs should set specific, measurable objectives for WBL programmes. These could include improving student satisfaction, enhancing mentor training or aligning assessment criteria with industry standards.

Objective: Develop a standardized system for evaluating student performance that incorporates both academic and industry-relevant competencies.

Do: Implement Small Changes and Test Improvements

- ***Kaizen:*** Implement the identified improvements on a small scale. For example, revise a section of the curriculum, adjust communication protocols between mentors and academic staff, or introduce a new feedback tool for students to assess their WBL experience. The idea is to start with small, manageable changes that can be easily tested and assessed.

Action: Introduce a feedback form for mentors to assess student performance after each WBL placement. Use this feedback to identify specific areas of improvement for the next placement.

- ***PDCA:*** Implement the planned changes and improvements on a trial basis. For instance, a pilot version of a new assessment rubric could be used for a small cohort of students in a particular programme, or a new mentoring training module could be delivered to a selected group of mentors.

Action: Pilot a new feedback and evaluation system for WBL placements and evaluate its effectiveness in terms of providing useful data for students and faculty.

Check: Evaluate the Effectiveness of Changes

- ***Kaizen:*** Evaluate the impact of the changes by collecting feedback from stakeholders (students, mentors, academic staff). The Kaizen approach stresses the importance of reviewing the results of

the small improvements and understanding what worked and what did not. This helps to fine-tune processes for further improvement.

Evaluation: After implementing the new mentor feedback form, evaluate whether mentors find the form useful and if it contributes to better assessment and feedback for students. Analyse whether this improvement aligns with the initial goals of increasing communication and mentoring effectiveness.

- **PDCA**: In the Check phase, HEIs should assess the results of the implemented changes using both qualitative and quantitative data. This includes surveys, interviews, academic performance metrics and feedback from all stakeholders involved in the WBL process.

Evaluation: Review data from pilot assessments, mentor feedback, and student performance to determine if the changes made were effective. Did the new assessment system improve the alignment of student learning outcomes with industry standards?

Act: Standardize the Improvements and Expand

- **Kaizen**: If the improvements have been successful, *Kaizen* suggests that these changes be standardized and incorporated into regular practices. The goal is to institutionalize improvements, making them part of the daily processes within the HEI.

Standardization: If the feedback form is well-received, it should become a standard practice for all WBL placements across the institution. Additionally, if mentor training proved effective, it should be expanded to all mentors in the programme.

- **PDCA**: Once the changes have been evaluated and found effective, they should be fully integrated into the system. The Act phase is about refining and institutionalizing improvements. HEIs should update their WBL policies, make necessary adjustments to curriculum or assessment systems, and ensure that new practices are adopted by all relevant stakeholders.

Expansion: Implement the revised WBL assessment rubric and mentor training programme across all departments and increase communication between academic staff and industry mentors to ensure ongoing alignment with academic and industry standards.

Continuous Improvement and Feedback Loops

Both Kaizen and PDCA emphasize the need for continuous feedback and iterative improvement. By regularly evaluating the effectiveness of WBL programmes and engaging with stakeholders to identify areas for further enhancement, HEIs can ensure that their programmes remain relevant and high-quality.

Kaizen's philosophy states that over time, the accumulation of incremental changes leads to significant advancements in the quality of education and industry alignment.

The PDCA cycle enables HEIs to maintain a structured yet flexible approach to quality improvement, making it easier to adapt and refine processes in response to emerging needs or challenges in WBL.

7. Monitoring and Evaluation mechanisms for WBL programmes

The following section outlines draft for several tools recommended for use throughout the entire quality assurance process of Work-Based Learning programmes.

7.1 Draft for Memorandum of Understanding and Memorandum of Agreement

These templates are drafts provided as examples only. It is the responsibility of each organization to ensure that any document they use complies with the legal requirements specific to their jurisdiction and organization. The project team does not condone the use of these templates without the organization's own legal review and checks.

Decision Guide: Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) or Memorandum of Agreement (MoA)?

- 1. Use the MoU to establish the partnership itself**
The Memorandum of Understanding should be used when the higher education institution and the external organisation wish to formalise their broader intention to collaborate on Work-Based Learning.
- 2. Use the MoA to define a specific WBL activity or placement**
The Memorandum of Agreement, or Learning Agreement, should be used when setting out the practical details of an individual placement, activity, or student-specific arrangement.
- 3. The MoU is strategic while the MoA is operational**
The MoU outlines the general framework, shared principles and areas of cooperation, while the MoA sets out the concrete responsibilities, timelines, supervision and learning arrangements for implementation.
- 4. The MoU usually comes first**
In most cases, the MoU is signed at the start of the relationship between partners and the MoA follows later when a particular placement or WBL activity is being arranged.
- 5. Both documents complement each other, but they are not interchangeable**
The MoU formalises the wider collaboration, whereas the MoA formalises the specific activity taking place within that collaboration. Using both correctly helps ensure clarity, accountability and smoother implementation.

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MoU)

For Cooperation on Work-Based Learning Between Higher Education Institution and Industry Partner

The purpose of this MoU is to establish a framework for cooperation between the University and the Industry Partner to support the implementation of Work-Based Learning (WBL) initiatives. This collaboration aims to enhance students' employability by integrating real-world experiences into their academic programmes.

The MoU should be signed early in the partnership to set out general intent and framework, especially when engagements are exploratory or span multiple programmes. It is typically non-binding except for confidentiality/data protection clauses.

TEMPLATE: MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

This Memorandum of Understanding ("MoU") is entered into on this ___ day of _____, 20__ ("Effective Date"), by and between:

[INSERT FULL NAME OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTION], a higher education institution established under the laws of [Country], with principal address at [Address], represented by [Name, Title] ("HEI");

and

[INSERT FULL NAME OF INDUSTRY PARTNER], a company/organisation established under the laws of [Country], with principal address at [Address], represented by [Name, Title] ("Industry Partner").

WHEREAS:

The HEI is committed to enhancing the quality and relevance of its academic programmes through structured engagement with employers and industry stakeholders.

The Industry Partner recognises the value of enriched learning opportunities and practical experience in cultivating skilled graduates ready for the labour market.

Both Parties wish to establish a collaborative framework to promote Work-Based Learning (WBL) opportunities for students of the HEI, consistent with quality assurance principles and mutual objectives.

Now, therefore, the Parties agree as follows:

1. Objectives

The purpose of this MoU is to set out the mutual understanding between the Parties in relation to cooperation on WBL. This includes, but is not limited to, fostering work placements, mentorship activities, joint development of learning outcomes, and supporting continuous improvement in WBL delivery.

2. Scope of Cooperation

Under this MoU, the Parties agree to explore and implement collaborative activities, such as:

Identification and provision of appropriate WBL opportunities for eligible students.

Alignment of industry placements with academic objectives and professional competencies.

Exchange of relevant information to ensure high-quality student experience.

Regular review of the partnership and shared approaches to enhancing WBL quality.

3. Roles and Responsibilities

Each Party shall undertake roles consistent with its organisational mandate:

The HEI shall identify and prepare students for participation in the WBL activities, provide academic guidance and monitoring, and ensure appropriate quality assurance mechanisms are in place.

The Industry Partner shall provide structured WBL opportunities, assign workplace mentors, and engage with the HEI in evaluating student learning and professional development during placements.

4. Duration and Termination

This MoU shall remain in force from the Effective Date until _____ and may be extended by written agreement of the Parties. Either Party may terminate this MoU upon ___ days' written notice to the other Party. Termination shall not affect rights or obligations arising prior to the termination date.

5. Confidentiality and Data Protection

Each Party agrees to protect confidential information received from the other and to process personal data in compliance with applicable data protection laws, including the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) where relevant.

6. Non-Binding Nature

This MoU expresses the Parties' intent to work cooperatively and does not create legally binding obligations except for sections on confidentiality and data protection, which shall be binding.

7. Review and Evaluation

The Parties shall meet at least annually (or at such other intervals as agreed) to review the progress of cooperation and identify areas for improvement.

8. Governing Law

This MoU shall be governed by the laws of [Country].

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have executed this Memorandum of Understanding as of the Effective Date written above.

Signed for and on behalf of [HEI]:

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Signed for and on behalf of [Industry Partner]:

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT (MoA)

Detailing Specific Collaboration on Work-Based Learning

The purpose of this MoA is to formalise the working relationship between the University and the Industry Partner for the implementation of Work-Based Learning (WBL) programmes, aiming to enhance students' practical skills, employability, and readiness for the workforce.

The MoA should be signed before any actual WBL activities commence, as a binding agreement that details roles, deliverables, timelines, finances, monitoring, evaluation and liability for that specific programme.

TEMPLATE: MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT

This Memorandum of Agreement is made on the ___ day of _____, 20___, by and between:

[Full Name of Higher Education Institution],

A higher education institution established under the laws of [Country], with its principal address at [Address], represented by [Full Name, Title], hereinafter referred to as [HEI],

AND

[Full Name of Host Entity],

A company/organization established under the laws of [Country], with its principal address at [Address], represented by [Full Name, Title], hereinafter referred to as the Host Entity,

AND

[Full Name of Intern/Student],

A student enrolled in [Academic Programme] at [HEI], with student ID number [ID Number], residing at [Address], hereinafter referred to as the Intern.

WHEREAS:

[HEI] offers academic programmes that include work-based learning (WBL) as part of the curriculum for its students.

The Host Entity provides professional work experience in areas related to the student's academic programme.

The Intern is enrolled in the programme and seeks to participate in the WBL programme to gain practical skills in the relevant field.

The Parties hereby agree to the terms and conditions set forth in this Memorandum of Agreement as follows:

Purpose of the Agreement

The purpose of this Agreement is to establish the terms and conditions under which the Intern will complete a Work-Based Learning internship at the Host Entity as part of their academic programme at [HEI].

Scope of the Internship

The internship is intended to provide the Intern with real-world experience related to their academic studies. The internship will be structured and will include the following elements:

Internship Duration: From [Start Date] to [End Date], for a total of [number] months/weeks or [number] hours.

Internship Location: [Location of Internship] (Remote and/or On-Site).

Internship Department: The Intern will work in the [Name of Department or Team] at [Host Entity].

Tasks and Responsibilities: The Intern will be involved in the following tasks:

[Task 1]

[Task 2]

[Task 3]

[Additional tasks]

Responsibilities of the Higher Education Institution (HEI)

[HEI] shall:

Assign an Academic Supervisor: The Intern will be assigned a supervisor at the institution, who will oversee academic progress and provide guidance during the internship.

Provide Support: [HEI] will support the Intern in achieving the academic objectives outlined in the internship programme.

Ensure Alignment with Academic Goals: [HEI] will ensure that the internship meets the academic requirements of the programme and supports the Intern's professional development.

Responsibilities of the Host Entity

The Host Entity shall:

1. Provide Meaningful Work Experience: The Host Entity agrees to provide structured, meaningful tasks that contribute to the Intern's professional development and academic learning objectives.
2. Assign a Mentor: The Host Entity will assign a mentor to guide the Intern throughout the internship. This mentor will provide regular feedback, support, and supervision.

3. Ensure a Safe and Supportive Work Environment: The Host Entity will ensure that the Intern works in a safe, inclusive, and professional environment and will comply with all applicable health and safety regulations.
4. Communicate with [HEI]: The Host Entity will maintain regular communication with the HEI regarding the Intern's performance and progress, including any challenges faced during the internship.

Responsibilities of the Intern

The Intern agrees to:

1. Fulfil Internship Duties: The Intern agrees to perform all tasks assigned by the Host Entity and to adhere to the internship schedule.
2. Follow Host Entity Policies: The Intern will comply with all policies, rules, and regulations of the Host Entity, including those related to work hours, conduct, and confidentiality.
3. Complete Required Reports: The Intern will submit required reports and other documentation as specified by the HEI and the Host Entity.
4. Confidentiality: The Intern agrees to maintain confidentiality regarding all proprietary information, projects, and data encountered during the internship, both during and after the completion of the internship.

Evaluation and Assessment

At the end of the internship, the Intern will submit a final report detailing their experiences and the skills gained during the internship. The Host Entity will provide an evaluation of the Intern's performance, which will be reviewed by [HEI]. The final assessment will determine the Intern's success in fulfilling the internship's academic requirements.

Insurance (If applicable)

The Intern is covered under [HEI]'s student insurance policy for the duration of the internship. The Host Entity must inform [HEI] of the internship location and the duration to activate the insurance coverage.

Financial Arrangements

The Host Entity is not required to pay a salary to the Intern unless otherwise agreed upon. However, the Host Entity may provide a stipend or other allowances for expenses such as travel or meals, subject to mutual agreement.

Termination of the Internship

This Agreement may be terminated by any Party with [30] days' written notice. In the event of early termination, the Intern must return all materials and documents provided by the Host Entity and [HEI].

Confidentiality and Data Protection

The Intern agrees to keep confidential any information related to the Host Entity's operations, clients, business strategies, and any other sensitive information. Both the Host Entity and [HEI] are committed to protecting the Intern's personal data in compliance with applicable data protection laws.

Miscellaneous

This Agreement is governed by the laws of [Country], and any disputes arising from this Agreement will be resolved through mediation or arbitration in [Location].

Signed for and on behalf of [HEI]:

Signature: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Signed for and on behalf of [Host Entity]:

Signature: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Signed by the Intern:

Signature: _____

Name: _____

Date: _____

7.2 Self-Assessment tools for Academia and Industry

This section discusses how the WBL-Q Tool ¹³ can be used and customized for use in HEIs and industry settings. By reframing evaluation criteria, aligning peer benchmarking with higher education-specific metrics and adding modules focused on academic contexts, the WBL-Q Tool can effectively bridge the gap between academic learning and industry practices. These adaptations ensure that WBL programmes are designed to develop higher-order competencies and provide meaningful, real-world experiences that align with both academic standards and industry requirements.

HEIs and Industry partners are then welcome to use the drafts for WBL Evaluation Forms provided here in order to obtain an extensive vision of the assessment of their WBL programmes.

Adaptation of the WBL-Q Tool for HEIs and Industry

WBL Quality - Self-Evaluation & Stress Test Tool

The WBL-Q tool was developed specifically for VET institutions to assess and improve the quality of work-based learning. However, many of the tool's features (such as its focus on self-evaluation, continuous improvement, and benchmarking against similar institutions) can also be useful for HEIs, particularly those offering applied learning programmes like internships or professional placements.

How the WBL-Q Tool Can Be Adapted for HEIs:

1. Reframe Evaluation Criteria for Higher Education and Industry Contexts

The tool's focus on assessing workplace learning is highly relevant for HEIs with industry-integrated programmes. For example, while the WBL-Q tool may focus on vocational-specific learning outcomes (like technical skills), HEIs and Industry can tailor these assessments to focus on higher-order competencies that are key in HEIs, such as critical thinking, problem-solving, and leadership in workplace settings.

1. Align Peer Benchmarking with HEI-Specific Metrics

The tool's benchmarking feature, which allows institutions to compare their WBL quality to peers, can be adjusted for HEIs. Instead of comparing to VET institutions, HEIs can benchmark their performance against other universities or colleges offering similar applied learning programmes, allowing for comparisons that are more aligned with their academic context.

2. Add Higher Education Focused Modules

The WBL-Q tool for VET focuses primarily on vocational skills and industry-specific criteria, but HEIs could modify it to include more academically oriented metrics, like research projects done in collaboration with industry, the inclusion of entrepreneurial thinking, or interdisciplinary teamwork in the workplace.

¹³ <https://www.wbl-quality.eu/self-evaluation-tool/>

3. Tailoring to Professional and Research Placements

The WBL-Q tool is excellent for programmes with hands-on, technical training, but HEIs offering research placements, internships, or service-learning projects should adapt the self-assessment tool to cover these experiences. For example, research placements could be assessed on research quality, methodology, and academic contributions to the field, while internships in the arts or humanities might focus on critical analysis and creative problem-solving in industry contexts.

Self-Assessment Tools for Academia and Industry (WBL Evaluation Forms)

Self-Assessment Template for Academia (HEI)

Work-Based Learning (WBL) Self-Assessment - Academia (HEI)

Institution Name: [HEI Name]

Course/Programme: [Programme Name]

Academic Supervisor: [Name]

Student Name: [Student Name]

Industry Partner: [Industry Name]

Placement Duration: [Start Date] - [End Date]

1. Alignment with Academic Learning Objectives

How well did the internship align with the academic objectives of the programme?

(1 = Poor, 5 = Excellent)

Rating: [___]

Comments: [Insert Comments]

Were the learning outcomes of the placement clear and measurable?

(1 = Poor, 5 = Excellent)

Rating: [___]

Comments: [Insert Comments]

2. Higher-Order Competencies Developed

To what extent did the internship help the student develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills?

(1 = Poor, 5 = Excellent)

Rating: [____]

Comments: [Insert Comments]

Did the student demonstrate leadership and independent working capabilities?

(1 = Poor, 5 = Excellent)

Rating: [____]

Comments: [Insert Comments]

3. Student's Contribution to the Industry

How relevant were the student's contributions to the industry project?

(1 = Not relevant, 5 = Highly relevant)

Rating: [____]

Comments: [Insert Comments]

4. Collaboration and Communication

How effective was communication between the academic supervisor and industry mentor?

(1 = Poor, 5 = Excellent)

Rating: [____]

Comments: [Insert Comments]

Did the student actively engage with professionals from different fields during the internship?

(1 = No, 5 = Yes)

Rating: [____]

Comments: [Insert Comments]

5. Professionalism and Industry Experience

To what extent did the internship provide the student with real-world industry experience?

(1 = Not relevant, 5 = Highly relevant)

Rating: [__]

Comments: [Insert Comments]

Self-Assessment Template for Industry

Work-Based Learning (WBL) Self-Assessment - Industry

Host Entity Name: [Industry Name]

Intern's Name: [Intern Name]

Industry Mentor: [Mentor Name]

Placement Duration: [Start Date] - [End Date]

1. Relevance of Internship to Industry Needs

How well did the student's work match the industry's needs and objectives?

(1 = Poor, 5 = Excellent)

Rating: [__]

Comments: [Insert Comments]

2. Skill Development

How effectively did the internship contribute to the student's development of workplace-specific skills?

(1 = Poor, 5 = Excellent)

Rating: [__]

Comments: [Insert Comments]

3. Communication and Collaboration

How effective was the student's communication and teamwork during the internship?

(1 = Poor, 5 = Excellent)

Rating: [__]

Comments: [Insert Comments]

4. Impact of the Internship on Professional Practice

How did the student's contribution affect the overall project or work of your team?

(1 = No impact, 5 = High impact)

Rating: []

Comments: [Insert Comments]

5. Future Potential and Career Readiness

To what extent did the internship prepare the student for future employment in the industry?

(1 = Not at all, 5 = Completely)

Rating: []

Comments: [Insert Comments]

These adapted tools allow HEIs and Industry to evaluate and improve their WBL programmes, ensuring that academic learning aligns with industry needs, that higher-order competencies are developed, and that students gain meaningful professional experience. The self-assessment forms help to monitor progress and enhance future placements, fostering continuous improvement in the quality of WBL across both academia and industry sectors.

7.3 Student Feedback Questionnaires

Explanation of Reasoning:

Clarity of Objectives and Expectations

The first section addresses whether students understand the expectations and alignment of the WBL activities with their academic objectives. This is crucial as the document emphasises the importance of clearly defined learning outcomes in both academic and work-based settings.

Quality of Academic Support and Mentorship

The next sections focus on evaluating academic supervision and mentorship. This reflects the need for HEIs to ensure that the academic component remains strong and well-supported even when students are in the workplace, as described in the document. Mentors are expected to provide guidance and align workplace learning with academic goals.

Learning Activity Relevance

The questions about the relevance of tasks and the opportunity to apply academic knowledge in the workplace are linked to the need for meaningful learning outcomes. This ties into the broader quality assurance framework, ensuring that the WBL experience prepares students for the workforce in a relevant and aligned manner.

Feedback and Evaluation

The document highlights the importance of feedback mechanisms to assess the quality of learning and ensure continuous improvement. Regular and constructive feedback from mentors and supervisors is vital to support the student's growth during the WBL.

Support for Student Well-Being

Questions about well-being support aim to ensure that the emotional and mental health of students is considered during the WBL process. The document underscores the need for a supportive learning environment, which extends to the holistic care of students while they are engaged in real-world work settings.

Impact on Employability

The survey also asks whether students feel that their WBL experience has increased their chances of employment, aligning with the document's emphasis on WBL's role in preparing students for the workforce.

Continuous Improvement and Student Feedback

The final sections, which ask for suggestions and additional feedback, are designed to foster a culture of continuous improvement. This is crucial as the toolkit is designed to ensure that WBL programmes are constantly evolving and improving based on student and industry input.

This structure aligns with the Quality Assurance Toolkit's focus on **systematic evaluation, continuous improvement, and alignment of academic and industry standards**, ensuring that students have a meaningful and impactful WBL experience.

Suggested Structure for Student Feedback Questionnaires:

General Information

Student Name (optional)

Course/programme

Type of WBL Experience (Internship, Apprenticeship, etc.)

Duration of WBL Experience

Objectives and Expectations

Were the expectations of the WBL programme clearly outlined before starting your experience?

Yes

No

Were the activities undertaken during the WBL aligned with your academic goals?

Fully

Partially

Not at all

Academic Support Quality

How would you rate the academic support provided during your WBL experience?

Excellent

Good

Satisfactory

Insufficient

Was there adequate communication between the university and the workplace during your experience?

Always

Sometimes

Never

Mentor/Supervisor Quality

Did the mentor or supervisor at the company provide clear and constructive guidance during your WBL experience?

Yes

No

How would you rate your mentor's competence in supporting your professional development?

Excellent

Good

Satisfactory

Insufficient

Learning Activities Quality

Were the tasks you undertook during the WBL relevant to your field of study?

Fully

Partially

Not at all

Did you have opportunities to apply what you've learned in the academic environment during the WBL?

Always

Sometimes

Never

How challenging were the activities of the WBL?

- Very challenging
- Adequately challenging
- Not very challenging

Feedback and Evaluation

Did you receive regular feedback on your performance during the WBL experience?

- Yes
- No

Was the feedback provided helpful for your development?

- Yes
- No

How would you rate the clarity of the criteria used to evaluate your performance during the WBL?

- Very clear
- Clear
- Unclear
- Very unclear

Student Well-Being Support

During your WBL, did you feel you received adequate support for your physical and emotional well-being?

- Yes
- No

Was there any mechanism to support your mental health during the WBL experience?

- Yes

- No
- I don't know

Impact on Employability

Do you think your WBL experience increased your chances of finding a job in your field of study?

- A lot
- A little
- Not at all

Did you acquire practical skills relevant to your future career during the WBL?

- Yes
- No

Suggestions for Improvement

What aspects of the WBL programme could be improved?

Is there anything you would like to see changed in the support or supervision structure?

Final Comments

Any additional comments or feedback on your WBL experience?

8. Good Practices and Guidelines for WBL programmes

This section is based on all the information presented in the previous sections, particularly focusing on the key components of Work-Based Learning in Higher Education. Effective implementation of WBL requires careful engagement with employers, promotion of student learning, and ensuring the overall quality of the WBL experience. The following guidelines draw on the principles outlined earlier and provide actionable practices for all involved stakeholders.

Engaging Employers

Employers are central to the success of WBL programmes as they provide the practical environment in which students can apply their academic knowledge. To effectively engage employers, HEIs should:

- **Develop Clear Agreements**: Formalize partnerships with industry through Memorandums of Understanding and Memorandums of Agreement, which outline expectations, roles and responsibilities for both parties. These agreements should ensure that placements align with the academic objectives of the students and provide meaningful learning opportunities
- **Ensure Relevance to Industry Needs**: Collaboration with employers during curriculum design ensures that academic programmes are aligned with the latest industry trends and skills demand. Employers should provide feedback on what skills and competencies they require, which can then be integrated into the course content
- **Mentorship and Supervision**: Employers must appoint qualified mentors to support students during their WBL experience. These mentors should not only be experts in their field but also trained in pedagogical skills to facilitate student development
- **Provide Structured Placement**: Ensure that WBL opportunities are not merely tasks or shadowing but involve significant, structured work assignments that directly contribute to the student's learning outcomes.
- **Regular Communication**: Establish a regular communication channel between the academic institution and the employer to discuss student progress and resolve any challenges that arise. This ensures that both parties are aligned and that the student receives the necessary guidance and feedback.

Promoting Student Learning

WBL is most effective when it directly enhances the student's learning experience, offering real-world contexts to apply their academic knowledge. To promote student learning, HEIs should:

- **Align WBL with Learning Outcomes**: Academic programmes should design WBL placements around clearly defined learning outcomes. These outcomes should reflect both academic knowledge and key competencies such as problem-solving, critical thinking and professional communication
- **Provide Adequate Academic Support**: Even during WBL, students must receive consistent academic guidance. This includes having academic supervisors who oversee the integration of theoretical knowledge with practical work. The supervisor's role is to ensure that students reflect critically on their experiences and integrate these into their academic development.

- Create Opportunities for Reflection: Encourage students to document their experiences and reflect on them through journals or portfolios. This reflection helps students connect academic theory to practice and identify areas for further development
- Promote Peer Learning: Encourage students to engage in peer discussions and group projects with their colleagues during WBL. This fosters collaborative learning and helps students integrate insights gained from their industry experiences into their academic knowledge.
- Assess and Evaluate: Develop a clear and transparent assessment framework that integrates both academic and industry evaluations. Assessment should focus not only on the completion of tasks but also on how well students have applied their knowledge, demonstrated initiative, and solved real-world problems

Ensuring the Quality of the WBL Experience

Quality assurance is essential in maintaining the consistency and effectiveness of WBL programmes. To ensure a high-quality WBL experience, institutions should:

- Develop a Structured QA Framework: Establish a robust quality assurance system that ensures the quality of both academic and workplace learning. This includes developing clear criteria for programme design, supervision, and assessment. The framework should also include mechanisms for continuous feedback from students, mentors, and academic staff
- Engage Stakeholders in Continuous Improvement: Quality assurance should not be a one-time event but a continuous process. Regular feedback loops involving students, academic supervisors, and industry mentors can help identify areas for improvement. HEIs should also benchmark their WBL programmes against other institutions and industry standards to stay current
- Monitor Student Well-Being: Ensure that students have access to support services, including academic counselling and mental health support, during their WBL experiences. Their well-being is critical for maintaining motivation and ensuring a positive learning experience
- Ensure Fair and Authentic Assessments: Develop assessments that are both academic and professional. These should be based on real-world tasks and competencies, including both technical skills and soft skills such as teamwork and communication. Authentic assessments ensure that the student's workplace experience is rigorously evaluated and validated.

8.1 Example of a timeline for a 1-Year Work-Based Learning Practice

This timeline outlines the key stages and activities from the perspective of the **academic partner**, the **industry partner** and the **student**. Each phase focuses on ensuring that all stakeholders are aligned in their goals and responsibilities, fostering a successful and high-quality WBL experience.

Pre-placement Phase (Months 1-2)

Academic Partner:

- **Curriculum alignment:** Ensure that WBL objectives are clearly integrated into the curriculum. Confirm that learning outcomes are well-defined and aligned with industry needs.
- **Partnership formation:** Finalize formal agreements with industry partners to define roles, responsibilities and expectations.
- **Preparation for students:** Conduct pre-placement training (e.g., workshops on workplace behaviour, expectations, and professional skills) and ensure students are prepared academically and emotionally.
- **Placement logistics:** Coordinate with industry partners to select appropriate placements for students based on academic goals and career interests.

Industry Partner:

- **Mentor preparation:** Assign experienced mentors who will be responsible for supervising the students throughout their placements. Ensure mentors are trained to guide students effectively.
- **Placement planning:** Review and confirm job descriptions, learning outcomes and projects that students will work on during the placement. Ensure tasks are meaningful and aligned with both academic goals and industry standards.
- **Facility readiness:** Ensure that the work environment is prepared for students, including health and safety considerations, workstations and necessary equipment.

Student:

- **Orientation:** Attend pre-placement workshops and training sessions organized by the academic partner. Gain an understanding of the placement expectations and academic requirements.
- **Pre-placement preparation:** Finalize the placement selection process. Reflect on personal learning goals and career aspirations.

Placement Phase (Months 3-9)

Academic Partner:

- **Ongoing support:** Regularly check in with students and industry mentors to monitor progress. Provide academic support and ensure that students' work is aligned with academic learning outcomes.

- **Mid-placement review:** Conduct a formal review at the midpoint of the placement, involving the student, mentor and academic supervisor. This review ensures that the learning goals are being met and allows for any necessary adjustments.
- **Assessment planning:** Prepare for mid-term and final assessments. Ensure that students are documenting their progress through reflective journals, reports or e-portfolios.

Industry Partner:

- **Mentorship:** Provide daily or weekly supervision and feedback. Ensure that students are engaged in tasks that challenge their skills and foster professional development.
- **Mid-placement feedback:** Participate in the mid-placement review with the academic partner, providing constructive feedback on the student's progress.
- **Professional development:** Offer opportunities for students to interact with industry professionals, attend meetings or participate in additional training that enhances their learning experience.
- **Ongoing evaluation:** Monitor student performance and adjust tasks or responsibilities if needed to ensure they are aligned with both academic and professional expectations.

Student:

- **Engagement in work tasks:** Begin working on projects and tasks that directly relate to their academic discipline. Take part in industry-specific activities such as meetings, presentations and team collaborations.
- **Mid-placement self-reflection:** Reflect on the learning so far, identifying strengths and areas for improvement. Discuss progress with mentors and academic supervisors.
- **Skill development:** Actively seek opportunities to develop both technical and soft skills (e.g., communication, teamwork, problem-solving).

Assessment and Reflection Phase (Months 10-12)

Academic Partner:

- **Final assessment preparation:** Organize and conduct final assessments, reviewing the student's performance in both academic and work-based components. Utilize feedback from industry mentors, self-reflection reports and portfolios.
- **Final review and feedback:** Meet with students and industry mentors to discuss the outcomes of the placement. Provide formal feedback on the student's performance.
- **Reflection on the programme:** Evaluate the success of the WBL programme for continuous improvement. Gather feedback from students and industry partners on how the program can be enhanced.

Industry Partner:

- **Final performance evaluation:** Assess the student's contribution to the industry and provide a detailed evaluation report. Offer feedback on areas where the student performed well and areas for improvement.

- **Recommendation:** If applicable, provide the student with a letter of recommendation or offer opportunities for future employment or internships based on performance.
- **Feedback for improvement:** Offer suggestions for improving the WBL programme from an industry perspective, focusing on how the programme can better meet both academic and professional needs.

Student:

- **Final report submission:** Submit a reflective report, project portfolio or presentation that outlines the skills learned, challenges faced and personal growth during the placement.
- **End-of-placement feedback:** Participate in a final evaluation session with the academic supervisor and industry mentor, discussing achievements and areas for future development.
- **Career planning:** Reflect on how the placement has influenced career goals. Consider next steps, such as further education, entering the workforce or additional internships or apprenticeships.

Post-placement Phase (Ongoing)

Academic Partner:

- **Alumni tracking:** Maintain contact with graduates to track their career progression and success in the workforce, ensuring that the WBL programme aligns with long-term employability outcomes.
- **Continuous curriculum improvement:** Use feedback from students, industry partners and mentors to refine and enhance the curriculum for future cohorts.

Industry Partner:

- **Continued engagement:** Maintain relationships with students who have completed the WBL programme, offering mentorship, job placement or networking opportunities.
- **Ongoing collaboration with HEI:** Participate in regular reviews of the WBL programme with the academic partner to ensure alignment with industry trends and future skill needs.

Student:

- **Career progression:** Use the experience gained during the placement to pursue full-time employment or further studies. Leverage the feedback, recommendations, and skills acquired during the placement to advance in the career path.

This timeline provides a clear and structured overview of the WBL experience from the perspectives of the academic partner, industry partner, and student. By carefully coordinating each phase, all parties ensure that the placement delivers high-quality, industry-relevant learning experiences that prepare students for successful careers.

By applying these good practices and guidelines, institutions can create meaningful, high-quality WBL experiences that benefit students, employers and the academic community. Continuous engagement and feedback are key to making WBL a dynamic and effective part of higher education.

9. Conclusion

Work-Based Learning is an essential educational approach that significantly enhances the alignment between academic knowledge and real-world industry needs. As explored throughout this document, the integration of WBL in higher education not only enriches the learning experience for students but also plays a vital role in improving their employability and professional competence. To achieve this, higher education institutions, industry partners and students must collaborate effectively, ensuring that each stakeholder's role is clear and that the overall experience meets both academic and industry expectations.

From the outset, the establishment of clear agreements between academic institutions and industry partners is paramount. Memorandums of Understanding and Memorandums of Agreement serve as foundational tools that set out shared expectations, roles and responsibilities. These formal agreements provide transparency and ensure that WBL experiences align with the curriculum, offering students meaningful opportunities to apply their academic learning in practical settings. Furthermore, industry engagement in curriculum design ensures that academic programmes are not only up to date with current market trends but are also responsive to the dynamic needs of the labour market.

The role of employers is critical throughout the WBL process. By appointing qualified mentors, industry partners guide students through real-world applications of their academic knowledge, ensuring that the tasks and assignments students work on during their placements are both relevant and challenging. The mentorship model, when effectively implemented, nurtures students' growth, allowing them to gain valuable insights into the profession and build key competencies such as teamwork, problem-solving and professional communication. Regular, structured feedback and assessment from mentors, alongside academic supervision, ensure that students' progress is tracked and that both academic and industry standards are met. By embedding authentic assessments, institutions ensure that the work students undertake is not only academically rigorous but also reflective of the professional competencies required in their chosen fields.

Promoting student learning through WBL is a shared responsibility. It is not enough for students to simply complete work-related tasks; they must be provided with the opportunities to reflect on their experiences, integrate their learning, and apply their skills in both academic and workplace contexts. Structured reflection (whether through journals, portfolios, or formal presentations) helps students consolidate their learning and connect theory to practice. In addition, maintaining consistent academic support throughout the placement ensures that students stay on track, linking their practical experiences back to their educational goals. This holistic approach to student learning encourages the development of higher-order competencies, such as critical thinking, independent working, and ethical decision-making.

The Quality Assurance of WBL programmes plays a pivotal role in ensuring that the experiences offered are consistent, equitable, and of high quality. The establishment of a comprehensive QA framework helps institutions maintain high academic standards while integrating industry feedback. The document outlines the importance of continuous improvement, with systematic feedback loops and regular evaluations that involve all stakeholders. By aligning assessment frameworks with both academic and industry standards, institutions can guarantee that WBL experiences provide authentic learning opportunities that contribute to the professional growth of students.

HEIs must also focus on continuous improvement by adopting methodologies like Kaizen and the PDCA cycle. These iterative frameworks support the ongoing refinement of WBL programmes through small, incremental changes, ensuring that programmes evolve in response to stakeholder feedback, emerging industry needs and changing educational trends. By regularly assessing and adjusting program structures, curricula and mentoring approaches, HEIs can keep their WBL offerings relevant and responsive to both academic and labour market demands.

Additionally, fostering a collaborative culture between academia and industry is essential for the long-term success of WBL programmes. Through joint efforts in curriculum design, mentoring, assessment and quality assurance, both sectors ensure that the WBL experience remains aligned with real-world expectations and that students are equipped with the skills necessary to thrive in their careers. Furthermore, engaging in benchmarking activities—comparing WBL programmes with those of peer institutions and industry standards—enables HEIs to measure the effectiveness of their WBL initiatives and identify areas for further improvement.

The student experience is at the heart of WBL, and their engagement and well-being must be prioritized throughout the placement process. As students transition into the workplace, they must feel supported, both academically and personally. Providing access to mentorship, well-being services, and ongoing academic guidance ensures that students can fully engage in the WBL experience, navigate challenges and ultimately achieve the learning outcomes. Moreover, by soliciting student feedback throughout the process, institutions can gain insights into the effectiveness of their WBL programmes and make adjustments to improve future placements.

The timeline for a one-year WBL programme presented in this document highlights the systematic progression of students, academic partners and industry mentors through the different phases of the WBL experience. From initial preparation and orientation to final evaluation and reflection, the timeline outlines key touchpoints where academic partners, industry mentors and students come together to ensure the success of the WBL experience. This collaborative timeline ensures that all stakeholders remain aligned in their goals and that students are provided with the support and feedback necessary to succeed.

In conclusion, the integration of WBL into higher education is not just an add-on to the academic curriculum, but a transformative learning experience that requires active collaboration between academia and industry. By following the guidelines and best practices outlined in this document, institutions can create meaningful, high-quality WBL programmes that prepare students for the complexities of the modern workforce. Through continuous improvement, robust quality assurance and a focus on student learning and well-being, WBL can serve as a critical tool in shaping the future of higher education, ensuring that students graduate with the skills, competencies and experiences needed to thrive in their professional careers.



wblchampion



Co-funded by
the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the Erasmus+ National Agency -INDIRE. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them. Project No: 2024-1-IT02-KA220-HED-000245540